IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KIMBERLY A. BIVENS, : 1:17-cv-809

Plaintiff,

:

v. : Hon, John E, Jones III

.

COMMONWEALHT OF PA, : Hon. Susan E. Schwab

et al.,

Defendants. :

ORDER

March 12, 2019

AND NOW, upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 15) of Chief United States Magistrate Judge Susan E. Schwab recommending that this matter be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction and without leave to amend inasmuch as any amendment would be futile and would not cure the jurisdictional defects of this case, and noting that the Plaintiff has not filed objections to the R&R, and further noting that there is no clear error on the record, ** see Nara v.*

When parties fail to file timely objections to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation,

conducted under the "plain error" standard); Cruz v. Chater, 990 F. Supp. 375-78 (M.D. Pa.

the Federal Magistrates Act does not require a district court to review the report before accepting it. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). As a matter of good practice, however, the Third Circuit expects courts to "afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report." *Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987). The advisory committee notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure indicate that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes; *see also Henderson*, 812 F.2d at 878-79 (stating that "the failure of a party to object to a magistrate's legal conclusions may result in the loss of the right to de novo review in the district court"); *Tice v. Wilson*, 425 F. Supp. 2d 676, 680 (W.D. Pa. 2006) (holding that the court's review is

Frank, 488 F.3d 187, 194 (3d Cir. 2007) (explaining that "failing to timely object to [a report and recommendation] in a civil proceeding may result in forfeiture of de novo review at the district court level") and the Court finding Judge Schwab's analysis to be thorough, well-reasoned, and fully supported by the record **IT IS**

HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 15) of Magistrate
 Judge Schwab is ADOPTED in its entirety.
- 2. This matter is **DISMISSED** without leave to amend.
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** the file on this case.

s/ John E. Jones IIIJohn E. Jones IIIUnited States District Judge

the face of the record"); *Oldrati v. Apfel*, 33 F. Supp. 2d 397, 399 (E.D. Pa. 1998) (holding that the court will review the report and recommendation for "clear error"). The Court has reviewed the magistrate judge's report and recommendation in accordance with this Third Circuit directive.